

DCP 234 Working Group Minutes

Meeting Name	DCP 234 Working Group
Meeting Number	02
Date	12 May 2015
Time	10:00
Venue	Teleconference

Attendee	Company
Claire Campbell [CC] (Chair)	SP Energy Networks
Andrew Enzor [AE]	Northern Powergrid
Andy Pace [AP]	ENWL
Franck Latremoliere [FL]	Reckon LLP
Sarah Marsden [SM]	Northern Powergrid
Michael Walls [MW] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink

1 ADMINISTRATION

- 1.1 There was an apology received from Lili Zhou (SSE Distribution), Chris Ong (UK Power Networks) and Beth Hanna (Ofgem).
- 1.2 The minutes of the previous meeting were agreed without amendment. The actions log is included as Appendix A.

2 COMPETITION LAWS DO'S AND DON'TS

- 2.1 The Working Group reviewed the "Competition Law Dos and Don'ts". All Working Group members agreed to the terms set out in the document.

3 DCP 234 MODELLING SUPPORT DISCUSSION

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed the modelling consultancy support request, this is included as Attachment 1.
- 3.2 FL went through the requirements as laid out in the documentation to understand the requests submitted by the Working Group. It was also noted by FL that a version of the PCDM had been produced previously that could work for this CP in terms of style and content. This is included as Attachment 2.
- 3.3 It was agreed that ElectraLink would circulate this version of the PCDM to the entire Working Group in order to garner views as to whether everyone is happy with the style before going forward.

Action 02/01: ElectraLink

- 3.4 FL raised an additional query/concern in relation to the legal drafting requirements of this CP. FL explained that if the CP were approved and all the legal text was put into one schedule (for both the CDCM and EDCM versions of the PCDM) that if a change was raised and approved by Ofgem, that whether an issue could arise where a single schedule refers to two methodologies; in particular, would it affect the Licence conditions that the DNOs must comply regarding using an approved methodology? Specifically, FL would like clarification

about the fact that two methodologies being in one schedule could affect/complicate this condition.

- 3.5 It was agreed that the ElectraLink would send this query to the DCUSA legal advisor for further clarification and report back to FL and the Working Group.

Action 02/02: ElectraLink

4 WORK PLAN

- 4.1 The DCP 234 Working Group agreed that the work plan and next steps follows:
- ElectraLink to send the sample version of the PCDM template to the Working Group for comment
 - Following a period for comments, ElectraLink to instruct FL to go forward with the work on the models on that basis
 - ElectraLink to send the legal text query to the DCUSA legal advisors, and report back to FL and the Working Group

5 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

- 5.1 There were no items of any other business.

6 NEXT MEETING

- 6.1 The next meeting will be scheduled once a timeline is received from the DCUSA modelling consultant as to how long the work will take to complete.

APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF ACTIONS

NEW AND OPEN ACTIONS

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	AP to draft the consultancy support request for the DCUSA Panel	AP	
01/02	ElectraLink to progress the CP according to the agreed work plan and associated actions	ElectraLink	